Published in IET Systems Biology doi: 10.1049/iet-syb.2009.9045

Special Issue – Selected papers from the Second q-bio Conference on Cellular Information Processing



ISSN 1751-8849

## **Editorial**

# Selected papers from the Second q-bio Conference on Cellular Information Processing

This special issue consists of 12 original papers that elaborate on work presented at *The Second q-bio Conference on Cellular Information Processing*, which was held on the campus of St. John's College in Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA, 6-9 August 2008.

It is always somewhat scary to organise a second incarnation of an international scientific meeting after the first one has been universally praised with epithets like "a watershed moment", "the most energy in years", and "the best ... conference [in the field]" [1]. How would the second event compare? Would it be able to meet the expectations of the community, raised so high in the first year? Or would the conference start fizzling, like so many others do? When organising The Second q-bio Conference on Cellular Information Processing we had all of these fears. However, when the list of the speakers started to crystallise to include such luminaries as Robert Austin (Princeton University), Howard Berg (Harvard University), Thomas Pollard (Yale University), Lucy Shapiro (Stanford University), Peter Wolynes (UCSD), as well as many younger rising stars working in the quantitative biology field (or, as we call it, q-bio, see [2] for the history and the scope of the term), the fears started to fade. They disappeared completely when the conference received about 130 contributed submissions, almost all of which were deemed of high quality by the program committee, and were sorted into 11 contributed talks, 17 poster spotlight talks, and 99 poster presentations, to complement 33 invited talks and 14 tutorial presentations. As the program came together, and lodging at St. John's College filled to capacity (over 200 people participated at the conference), it became clear that the second *q-bio* would match the first.

The actual conference did not disappoint, being every bit as dynamic and exciting as the first one. Many fascinating

results were presented, some approaching the q-bio ideal of combining experimental and modelling approaches with more general theoretical conclusions and predictions. To the collection of praises from 2007, Ido Golding (UIUC) added the epithet "my intellectual home". Naama Brenner (Technion) summarised the sentiment of many, complaining that there had been no bad sessions in the conference program, which had left no time for sightseeing in Santa Fe, a beautiful and culturally rich city. Clearly, such complaints were music to the organisers' ears. Similarly, The q-bio Summer School, which preceded the conference, was also successful, with most students stating that they had learned a lot. Some liked the school so much that they chose to come back again a year later. Others accumulated enough knowledge in the course of the two and a half school weeks to be able to write a serious research paper with the school faculty on the stochastic properties of complex enzymatic reactions, which appears in this special issue (de Ronde et al.). The organisers are particularly proud of this achievement, which signifies that the q-bio series is starting to have an impact beyond research, educating the next generation of quantitative biology researchers.

## The Special Issue at a Glance

Just like a year ago, the idea of this special issue was to document a selection of the work presented at the conference in one place and to provide a snapshot of the q-bio field. And just like a year ago, this goal was only partially fulfilled: many excellent experimental results reported at  $The \ q$ - $bio \ Conference$  have appeared elsewhere, and this special issue is biased toward the theoretical and computational end of the q-bio spectrum. We hope that, as time goes by and q-bio rises in prominence, this imbalance will disappear.

The twelve individual papers in this special issue speak for themselves. We encourage the readers to inspect them directly, and the introductions below are deliberately brief. We have arranged the papers loosely into four blocks: *Biophysics, Detailed modelling, General properties of biochemical networks,* and *Learning networks from data.* 

Biophysics: The two papers in this block, Simple model of the transduction of cell-penetrating peptides by Cahill, and Quantitative statistical description of integrin clusters in adherent cells by Welf et al., develop models of the specific molecular systems, emphasising the tools of statistical physics, molecular biophysics, and soft condensed matter physics.

Detailed models and modelling tools: This is the largest block of papers in the special issue. Papers here address the need for tools that allow detailed kinetic modelling of large-scale molecular signalling and regulatory systems using modern knowledgebases and simulation tools (Ruebenacker et al., Integrating BioPAX pathway knowledge with SBML models), and they apply such toolboxes to modeling specific biological systems and producing experimentally testable predictions of their behavior. The systems analysed in the special issue dealt with the ERK nuclear translocation (Radhakrishnan et al.), as well as the p53 and NFκB modules and their interactions (Hat et al. and Puszynski et al.)

General properties of biochemical networks: In these papers, the authors attempt to understand how constraints of various types affect information processing characteristics of biological networks and, more generally, the dynamics of these networks. The papers in this block include Evolution of gene auto-regulation in the presence of noise by Singh et al., Quantifying evolvability in small biological networks by Mugler et al., and Interampatteness—a generic property of biochemical networks by Nordling et al.

Learning networks from data: An important question in modern systems biology, confronted in many q-bio studies, is the question of learning the topology and the more specific kinetic parameters of biomolecular interactions using experimental data. Two papers in the q-bio special issue extend traditional tools, developed by the authors in prior publications, in the subfield of reverse engineering of genetic regulatory networks using gene expression profiles and similar data to increase the domain of applicability of the methods and to infer networks for specific, previously un-analysed systems: Scalable learning of large networks by Roy et al., and Identification of gene interactions in fungalplant symbiosis through discrete dynamical system modelling. The work of de Ronde et al., Mesoscopic statistical properties of multistep enzyme-mediated reactions, addresses a somewhat different question of using fluctuation data to reverse engineer the kinetic network underlying activity of an enzyme. This article, as mentioned in the introduction of this editorial, is the work of the summer school students,

which was stimulated by an open-ended homework problem posed in *The Second q-bio Summer School*.

#### What Does the Future Hold?

Nobody knows the complete answer to this question, but a few things are clear. As we are writing this editorial, *The Third q-bio Summer School* is in full swing, and *The Third q-bio Conference* is in the final preparation stages. The *q-bio* is now firmly established as an annual event; it has found its place on the map of systems biology conferences, assembled a regular group of dedicated followers, and it is still expanding its reach among both young students and senior established researchers. *The Fourth q-bio Conference* will take place in August of 2010, and a number of prominent scientists have already confirmed their participation. We will keep the tradition: the conference will again be preceded by a two-and-a-half-weeks long summer school. We hope to see you at either or both events.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the authors who contributed to this special issue and the over 30 anonymous reviewers of manuscripts, as well as the editorial staff of IET Systems Biology for their continued support of the q-bio theme. Additionally, we would like to thank the staff of St. John's College Conference Services and the staff of the Center for Nonlinear Studies in Los Alamos, especially Adam Shipman, Don Thompson, Charlotte Carter, and Ellie Vigil, who at times worked very long hours to make the conference what it turned out to be. We also thank the Center for Nonlinear Studies and the Institute for Advanced Studies at Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Cancer Center and the Center for Spatiotemporal Modeling of Cell Signaling at the University of New Mexico, and the Molecular Sciences Institute for their generous and significant financial support of the conference. Finally, we thank the dedicated advisory, program, and organising committee members, who helped us build a coherent and exciting conference program and tirelessly publicised the conference among their colleagues: Adam P. Arkin (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), Naama Brenner (Technion), Roger Brent (Molecular Sciences Institute), Jeremy S. Edwards (University of New Mexico), Johan Elf (Uppsala University), James R. Faeder (University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine), Hans Frauenfelder (Los Alamos National Laboratory), Angel E. García (Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute), Byron Goldstein (Los Alamos National Laboratory), Mark Goulian (University of Pennsylvania), Jeff Hasty, (University of California, San Diego), Dale Kaiser (Stanford University), Diane S. Lidke (University of New Mexico), Janet M. Oliver (University of New Mexico), Bernhard Ø. Palsson (University of California, San Diego), Orna Resnekov (Molecular Sciences Institute), John Reinitz (Stony Brook University), Michael A. Savageau (University of California, Davis), David H. Sharp

(Los Alamos National Laboratory), and Susan S. Taylor (University of California, San Diego).

The local organisers of The q-bio Conference and Summer School and the editors of this special issue are:

ILYA NEMENMAN WILLIAM S. HLAVACEK YI JIANG MICHAEL E. WALL (Los Alamos, New Mexico, July 2009)

#### References

- [1] EDWARDS J., FAEDER J., HLAVACEK W., JIANG Y., NEMENMAN I., WALL M.: 'q-bio 2007: a watershed moment in modern biology', *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, 2007, **3**, (148)
- [2] NEMENMAN I., HLAVACEK W., EDWARDS J., FAEDER J., JIANG Y., WALL M.: 'Editorial: Selected papers from the First q-bio Conference on Cellular Information Processing', *IET Systems Biology*, 2008, **2**, (5), pp. 203–205
- **Dr Ilya Nemenman** received a PhD in theoretical physics from Princeton University. He has been further trained as a postdoctoral scientist at the NEC Research Institute and the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, and as an Associate Research Scientist at the Columbia University School of Medicine. He is currently a scientist at the Computer, Computation, and Statistical Sciences Division and the Center for Nonlinear Studies at Los Alamos National Laboratory, where he studies information processing in biological systems.

**Dr William S. Hlavacek** received a PhD in chemical engineering from the University of Michigan and did postdoctoral work at Los Alamos National Laboratory, where he is now a scientist in the Theory Division. He is also a Research Associate Professor in the Department of Biology at the University of New Mexico. He has worked on modelling bacterial gene regulation, metabolic networks, multivalent ligand-receptor binding, HIV dynamics, and signal transduction in cancer and immunity.

Dr Yi Jiang received her PhD in physics from University of Notre Dame and was a postdoc at Los Alamos National Laboratory before she became a technical staff member at the Theoretical Division, where she has been since. She is also an Adjunct Professor at Mathematics Department of Notre Dame and Physics Department at Emory. She has broad interests in biophysics, from complex fluid rheology, macromolecular assembly, to tissue morphogenesis. Her Recent research is focused on cancer development, bacterial patterning, and cellular networks.

Dr Michael E. Wall is a staff member in the Computer, Computational, and Statistical Sciences Division at Los Alamos National Laboratory, where he is also affiliated with the Bioscience Division and the Center for Nonlinear Studies. Dr. Wall's training is in experimental biophysics, biochemistry, and computational biology. His work has addressed problems in protein function and dynamics, diffuse scattering, small-angle scattering and gene regulation. He is generally interested in theoretical and computational modelling of biochemical regulation.

*IET Syst. Biol.*, 2009, Vol. 3, Iss. 5, pp. 297–299 doi: 10.1049/iet-syb.2009.9045